

September 24, 2014

Roy,

Thank you for circulating the Geert Wilders speech. Many of our contemporaries still do not recognize how much danger we are in. I have taken the liberty of "replying to all" with this answer. I have near 700 on my "rant" mailing list now, and I may modify it and send it to them.

It is noteworthy that Geert Wilders made the speech at the Four Seasons 6 years ago. It was a prophetic speech, and the prophecy is being fulfilled. It's much worse now, and many of our leaders still don't realize that we are in more danger than we have been in since the Civil War. In both World Wars in the last century, our enemies didn't have the capability to reach us across the oceans, but now they are already here.

Political correctness is as much of a danger to western civilization as Muslim terror. Wilders was charged with "hate speech" in the Netherlands, and denied entry into the UK. The Snopes link that you enclosed gives more details.

Wilders quoted Bernhard Lewis, the British/American historian, who has been generally recognized as the westerner with the most knowledge about radical Islam. He is 98 years old now, and I believe the US citizen who knows as much as anyone now is Michael Youssef. Michael's holds a PhD in social anthropology from Emory University. He wrote "Black Gold and Holy War" in 1982, using the pseudonym, Ishak Ibrahim. It has been updated at least twice, and the latest is "Blindsided." Michael is listed as the author now. The original is most interesting to me, because I have been able to watch the events occur that Michael predicted 32 years ago.

Ed Noble headed the Synthetic Fuels Corporation in 1983, and he gave multiple copies of the book to the Reagan White House staff. Senator Arlen Specter was a friend of Ed's, and after he read it, he requested 100 copies. He gave one to every member of the Senate. Michael had meetings with cabinet level officials and members of congress, and the consensus was, "you are probably are right Michael, but it can't be sold." Reading "Black Gold and Holy War" was the first time many in government had heard of the Muslim Brotherhood, the Wahabi's, etc. But it was already politically incorrect to offend Muslims.

Sir Winston Churchill (1874 - 1965) was one of the great men of the 20th Century. He was the first statesmen of stature to recognize that Hitler meant what he said. In his book, "The River War", first edition, Volume II, pages 248-250, published by Longmans, Green & Company, he said the following about Islam:

"How dreadful are the curses which Mohammedanism lays on its votaries! Besides the fanatical frenzy, which is as dangerous in a man as hydrophobia in a dog, there is this fearful fatalistic apathy. The effects are apparent in many countries, improvident habits, slovenly systems of agriculture, sluggish methods of commerce and insecurity of

property exist wherever the followers of the Prophet rule or live. A degraded sensualism deprives this life of its grace and refinement, the next of its dignity and sanctity. The fact that in Mohammedan law every woman must belong to some man as his absolute property, either as a child, a wife, or a concubine, must delay the final extinction of slavery until the faith of Islam has ceased to be a great power among men.

Individual Moslems may show splendid qualities, but the influence of the religion paralyzes the social development of those who follow it. No stronger retrograde force exists in the world. Far from being moribund, Mohammedanism is a militant and proselytizing faith. It has already spread throughout Central Africa, raising fearless warriors at every step, and were it not that Christianity is sheltered in the strong arms of science, the science against which it (Islam) has vainly struggled, the civilization of modern Europe might fall, as fell the civilization of ancient Rome."

The book was published in 1899, so Churchill, a century ago, knew what many of our leaders don't know today. (Example: Obama, in his UN speech this morning, said that ISIL is not Islamist.) If you read the Churchill quote from 1899 on the public square in Britain today, you would be arrested for hate speech, as Paul Weston, a British politician found out this Spring when he wound up in hand cuffs.

I don't know much about Paul Weston, except that he is far right and right on. This is quoted from one of his press releases:

".....the three greatest threats to Britain's future peace and security, namely the rise of fundamentalist Islam, the hijacking of traditional British culture and institutions by well-organized left-wing 'progressives', and mass Third World immigration, which is rapidly cleansing native British people from their own towns and cities."

If we change Britain to America in the above quote, it could describe our situation. We are delusional if we think we have any friends in the Middle East, except Israel, and the Obama administration has largely rejected them.

I was a supporter of Bush 43, and I thought - like most Americans - he was doing the right thing by removing Saddam Hussein from Iraq. In retrospect, I realize that he destroyed the Mid-Eastern balance of power, because Iraq and Iran were at war, or planning war most of the time. Iran is a Shiite country, and Saddam was a secular Sunni ruling over a majority Shiite Iraq. When the US brought "democracy" to Iraq, a Shiite government was elected. They became a vassal state of Iran.

Let's review the bidding; Carter deposed the Shah of Iran, Bush killed Saddam Hussein, and the "Arab Spring" - promoted by Obama - got rid of Mubarak in Egypt and Gaddafi in Libya, and threw the whole region into chaos.

When I heard Bush say that "Islam is a religion of peace" in his speech after 9/11, I knew we were in for big trouble. Islam is a lot of things, but peaceful is not one of them. They have spread Islam by the sword for 1500 years. To believe that Muslim rebel

"moderates" exist is naive. If we arm and train them, some more American troops will be killed in "workplace violence."

The Saudi royals are walking a tightrope. The Wahabi sect - from which the Muslim Brotherhood spring - originated there. They are our friends for our oil money, and protection of their oil wells. They have funded terrorism for their own protection. They are funding dozens, if not hundreds of mosques in the US, from which homegrown terrorists are emanating. When we become energy sufficient, the royal family will fall.

Meanwhile we are doing the work that Iran would likely do, if we stayed out of it. It seems to me that it's to our advantage to let them kill each other. As a southern, conservative, uneducated, dumb, evangelical (SCRUDE) who spends most of his time watching SEC football, and "Duck Dynasty," I agree with that great American SCRUDE, the patriarch of "Duck Dynasty," Phil Robinson. He said we have to "convert them, or kill them." If we don't, they will surely kill us. The talking heads were in a dither when he made that politically incorrect statement.

And while Russia and China are building up their military, and encroaching on foreign territory, we are cutting our military, and throwing our borders open. No matter what we do, we may be finished as a superpower, but if the Democrats retain control of the Senate, our slide will accelerate.

I am so politically incorrect, that I think we should profile Muslims, secure our borders, rebuild our military, become energy independent, let Muslims kill each other, and not worry about collateral damage if we have to go back over there.

Oh, unlike John Kerry, I don't think that climate change is the world's number one problem.

Regards,

SCRUDE